

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/999,663	12/18/1997	EVAN GEORGE COLGAN	YO994-065XX	4175
DANIEL P MO	7590 12/26/200 DRRIS	EXAMINER		
IBM CORPOR	-	DUDEK, JAMES A		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT PO BOX 218			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			2871	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/999,663	COLGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	James A. Dudek	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. Briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
sposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 46-160 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 46-160 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
oplication Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	<u>.</u>
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		* *
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	raceived
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies hot	received.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

37 C.F.R § 1.75 Claim(s).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 37 C.F.R § 1.75 Claim(s):

- (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- (b) More than one claim may be presented provided they differ substantially from each other and are not unduly multiplied.

Claims 1-14 and 46-160 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where, in view of the nature and scope of applicant's invention, applicant presents an unreasonable number of claims which are repetitious and multiplied, the net result of which is to confuse rather than to clarify, a rejection on undue multiplicity based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, may be appropriate. As noted by the court in In re Chandler, 319 F.2d 211, 225, 138 USPQ 138, 148 (CCPA 1963), "applicants should be allowed reasonable latitude in stating their claims in regard to number and phraseology employed. The right of applicants to freedom of choice in selecting phraseology which truly points out and defines their inventions should not be abridged. Such latitude, however, should not be extended to sanction that degree of repetition and multiplicity which beclouds definition in a maze of confusion. The rule of reason should be practiced and applied on the basis of the relevant facts and circumstances in each individual case." See also In re Flint, 411 F.2d 1353, 1357, 162 USPQ 228, 231 (CCPA 1969).

The examiner should also request that applicant select a specified number of claims for purpose of examination. Applicant's reply must include a selection of claims for purpose of examination, the number of which may not be greater than the number specified by the examiner. In response to applicant's reply, if the examiner adheres to the undue multiplicity

Application/Control Number: 08/999,663

Art Unit: 2871

rejection, it should be repeated and the selected claims will be examined on the merits. This

procedure preserves applicant's right to have the rejection on undue multiplicity reviewed by the

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Also, it is possible to reject one claim on an allowed claim if they differ only by subject

Page 3

matter old in the art. This ground of rejection is set forth in Ex parte Whitelaw, 1915 C.D. 18,

219 O.G. 1237 (Comm'r Pat. 1914). The Ex parte Whitelaw doctrine is restricted to cases where

the claims are unduly multiplied or are substantial duplicates. Ex parte Kochan, 131 USPQ 204,

206 (Bd. App. 1961).

Number of claims selected by the Examiner:

Not more than five claims should be selected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaraes A. Dudek

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2871